JEFFERSON COUNTY ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT

Dale Weis, Chair; Janet Sayre Hoeft, Vice-Chair; Don Carroll, Secretary; Paul Hynek, First Alternate; Aari Roberts, Second Alternate

PUBLIC HEARING BEGINS AT **1:00 P.M.** ON THURSDAY, JULY 13, 2017 IN ROOM 205, JEFFERSON COUNTY COURTHOUSE

<u>CALL TO ORDER FOR BOARD MEMBERS</u> IS AT 10:15 A.M. IN COURTHOUSE ROOM 203, PRIOR TO THE HEARING

<u>SITE INSPECTION FOR BOARD MEMBERS</u> LEAVES AT 10:45 A.M. FROM COURTHOUSE ROOM 203, PRIOR TO THE HEARING

1. Call to Order-Room 203 at 10:15 a.m.

Meeting called to order @ 10:15 a.m. by Weis

2. Roll Call (Establish a Quorum)

Members present: Carroll, Hoeft, Weis

Members absent: ----

Staff: Laurie Miller, Matt Zangl, Rob Klotz

3. Certification of Compliance with Open Meetings Law Requirements

Hoeft & Carroll acknowledged publication. Staff also presented proof of publication.

4. Election of Officers

Hoeft made motion to retain all current board positions, seconded by Weis, motion carried 3-0 on a voice vote.

5. Approval of the Agenda

Hoeft made motion, seconded by Carroll, motion carried 3-0 on a voice vote to approve the agenda.

6. Approval of May 11, 2017 Meeting Minutes

Hoeft made motion, seconded by Weis, motion carried 3-0 on a voice vote approve the meeting minutes.

7. Communications and Public Comment - NONE

8. Discussion and Possible Decision on V1597-17 for Dan Zastrow, Town of Lake Mills

Klotz explained past practices and a possible new way of looking at requests for reduced access and/or easements for ag or hunting land. He noted that there are previous approvals granted for these requests. These are not buildable lots which he further explained. He would like to see consistent decisions.

There was a discussion with the Board and staff. Rob stated that he would look at decisions done in the past as examples, and this will be revisited on next month's agenda.

9. Site Inspections – Beginning at 10:45 a.m. and Leaving from Room 203

V1600-17 – Ronald & Constance Noe, N7566 County Rd X, Town of Watertown

V1599-17 – Edward & Laura Klein, W6202 Klein Ln, Town of Milford

10. Public Hearing – Beginning at 1:00 p.m. in Room 205

Meeting called to order @ 1:00 p.m. by Weis

Members present: Carroll, Weis, Hoeft

Members absent: ----

Staff: Laurie Miller, Matt Zangl

11. Explanation of Process by Board of Adjustment Chair

The following was read into the record by Weis:

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING JEFFERSON COUNTY ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Jefferson County Zoning Board of Adjustment will conduct a public hearing at 1:00 p.m. on Thursday, July 13. 2017 in C:\Users\tammiej\AppData\Local\Microsoft\Windows\Temporary Internet Files\Content.Outlook\l3S02F42\July.doc

Room 205 of the Jefferson County Courthouse, Jefferson, Wisconsin. Matters to be heard are applications for variance from terms of the Jefferson County Zoning Ordinance. No variance may be granted which would have the effect of allowing in any district a use not permitted in that district. No variance may be granted which would have the effect of allowing a use of land or property which would violate state laws or administrative rules. Subject to the above limitations, variances may be granted where strict enforcement of the terms of the ordinance results in an unnecessary hardship and where a variance in the standards will allow the spirit of the ordinance to be observed, substantial justice to be accomplished and the public interest not violated. Based upon the findings of fact, the Board of Adjustment must conclude that: 1) Unnecessary hardship is present in that a literal enforcement of the terms of the ordinance would unreasonably prevent the owner from using the property for a permitted purpose or would render conformity with such restrictions unnecessarily burdensome; 2) The hardship is due to unique physical limitations of the property rather than circumstances of the applicant; 3) The variance will not be contrary to the public interest as expressed by the purpose and intent of the zoning ordinance. PETITIONERS, OR THEIR REPRESENTATIVES, SHALL BE **PRESENT.** There may be site inspections prior to public hearing which any interested parties may attend; discussion and possible action shall be occur after public hearing on the following:

<u>V1599-17 – Edward & Laura Klein:</u> Variance from Sec. 11.07(d) of the Jefferson County Zoning Ordinance to allow a shed addition at less than the required minimum setback to right-of-way and centerline at W6020 Klein Ln in the Town of Milford on PIN 020-0714-0913-006 (7.056 Acres). The property is zoned A-1, Exclusive Agricultural.

Ed Klein, W6202 Klein Lane, presented his petition. He wants to add a 10'x25' lean-to/overhang onto the existing pole shed to give shelter to the horses. To the north is the kiln, to the east is a wooded area, and to the west is the pottery shop. This would be the only spot to give the horses some relief, and it gives some visual to the horses. This is a dead end road with no current neighbors, and there are no visual restrictions.

Weis questioned the proposed setback of 75' rather than 85' to the centerline. The petitioner explained that was correct and it was 3' into the ROW. Hoeft made comment of no visual impacts or problems for emergency vehicle access. Carroll asked if this was roofed and sided. The petitioner stated it was not going to be enclosed – it's basically a roof.

In favor was Ronald Noe, N7566 County Road X. There were no questions or comments in opposition of the petition. Hoeft noted that the Board is not obliged to the town's decision, but they do take their decision into consideration.

There was a town response in the file from the town which was read into the record by Weis approving the petition.

Staff report was given by Zangl. The required setbacks are 85' to the centerline and 50' to the right-of-way. The addition is being proposed at 75' from the centerline and 35' from the right-of-way. There is a permit on file for the original structure. The addition is a 10'x25' overhang. A site plan showing the location of the addition along with the other structures on the property and the septic system is included in the file. There is also a topography map and findings of fact in the file. Zangl also addressed the septic location, the slope to the east, and that it's on a dead end road.

<u>V1600-17- Ronald & Constance Noe:</u> Variance from Sec. 11.07(d) of the Jefferson County Zoning Ordinance to allow shed placement at less than the required minimum setback to right-of-way at N7566 County Road X in the Town of Watertown. The property is zoned A-3,Agricultural/Rural Residential on PIN 032-0815-3423-002 (1.907 Acre)

Ronald Noe, N7566 County Road X, presented his petition. They are proposing a 10'x18' shed. The right-of-way is the problem. They would be quite a distance from the edge of the road. They are asking for a 35' setback from the right-of-way which is a 15' variance. The placement of the shed would come off the existing driveway which is the best place for it. Anywhere else would conflict with the existing septic and septic replacement area. He is using basically the entire top of the hill. It's a hillside which is very steep, and would not physically be able to place it anywhere else.

Connie Noe, N7566 County Road X, was in favor. There were no questions or comments in opposition of the petition.

Staff report was given by Zangl. He stated that 85' centerline setback and 50' right-of-way setback was required. They will be 35' from the right-of-way. The property has a steep hill and the right-of-way encroaches on their property. There is a topographical map in the file. The majority of the lot is over a 20% slope. The area that is not at a 20% slope is the where the septic and septic replacement area are.

There was a town decision in the file approving the petition which was read into the record by Weis.

Hoeft commented that they are allowed this building. Weis further explained. Weis also touched upon all the restrictions to the property which the petitioner had demonstrated.

12. Discussion and Possible Action on Above Petitions (See following pages, files and recorded proceedings)

13. Adjourn

Hoeft made motion, seconded by Weis, motion carried 3-0 on a voice vote to adjourn @ 1:33 p.m.

NOTE: Hoeft requested that the St Croix Supreme court decision be put on next month's agenda for discussion.

If you have questions regarding these variances, please contact the Zoning Department at 920-674-7113 or 920-674-8638. Variance files referenced on this hearing notice may be viewed in Courthouse Room 201 between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 4:30 p.m. Monday through Friday, excluding holidays. Materials covering other agenda items can be found at www.jeffersoncountywi.gov.

JEFFFERSON COUNTY ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT

A quorum of any Jefferson County Committee, Board, Commission or other body, including the Jefferson County Board of Supervisors, may be present at this meeting.

Individuals requiring special accommodations for attendance at the meeting should contact the County Administrator at 920-674-7101 at least 24 hours prior to the meeting so appropriate arrangements can be made.

A digital recording of the meeting will be available in the Zoning Department upon request.

Additional information on Zoning can be found at www.jeffersoncountywi.gov

DECISION OF THE ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT JEFFERSON COUNTY, WISCONSIN

FINDINGS OF FACT

PETITION NO.: HEARING DATE:	2017 V1599 07-13-2017
APPLICANT:	Edward S & Laura S Klein
PROPERTY OWNER:	SAME
 PARCEL (PIN #):	
TOWNSHIP:	
INTENT OF PETITION existing ag barn	TER: Construct an addition (10' x 25' overhang/lean to) to
THE JEFFERSON COULT	UESTS A VARIANCE FROM SECTION 11.07(d) OF NTY ZONING ORDINANCE. IE PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION AND PROPERTY WHICH NT OR DENIAL OF THE VARIANCE APPLICATION ARE:
-Ordinance require	s 85' from CL, 50' from ROW
-Addition would be	at 75' from CL, 35' from ROW
-2002 permit for str	ucture
-Approved by Town	n
	ONS BASED ON SITE INSPECTIONS: Site inspections yed property layout & location.
FACTS PRESENTED AT	PUBLIC HEARING: See tape, minutes & file.

DECISION STANDARDS

A.	A. NO VARIANCE MAY BE GRANTED WHICH WOULD HAVE THE EFFECT OF ALLOWING IN ANY DISTRICT A USE NOT PERMITTED IN THAT DISTRICT						
В.	ALL	OWING A	USE OF LA		ERTY WHIC	LD HAVE THE EF CH WOULD VIOL	
C.	WHE RESU STAN SUBS	ERE STRIC ULTS IN A NDARDS V	CT ENFOR N UNNEC VILL ALLO	CEMENT OF Z CESSARY HARI OW THE SPIRIZ	THE TERM OSHIP & WI T OF THE (ICES MAY BE GRAS OF THE ORDIN HERE A VARIANC ORDINANCE TO I & THE PUBLIC II	IANCE CE IN THE BE OBSERVED,
	BASI	E D ON TH	E FINDIN	IGS OF FACT,	ΓHE BOARI	D CONCLUDES T	HAT:
1.	OF T PRE PUR	THE TERM VENT TH POSE OR VECESSAR outside of The locate allow the	IS OF THE E OWNER WOULD RI ILY BURD Shelter for the tion of the s area which	ZONING ORI FROM USING ENDER CONF ENSOME BEC he horses in the existing operation	DINANCE V THE PROF ORMITY W CAUSEth barn to which onal structure The existing	T A LITERAL ENITY OULD UNREASO PERTY FOR A PERTH SUCH RESTRACE IS nowhere else the he is proposing a ses and animal shelter and physics.	ONABLY RMITTED RICTIONS to provide lean-to for shade. ering will only
2.	2. THE HARDSHIP IS DUE TO UNIQUE PHYSICAL LIMITATIONS OF THE PROPERTY RATHER THAN THE CIRCUMSTANCES OF THE APPLICANT BECAUSE he wants to go to the south. To the north is the kiln, to the east, it is heavily wooded, and to the west is the shop. The physical features of the lot create the hardship.						
3.	EXPRESSED BY THE PURPOSE AND INTENT OF THE ZONING ORDINANCE BECAUSE there are no implications for traffic, sighting, or emergency vehicle access. There is no effect on the public. This is a dead end road with very limited traffic.						
*A VA	RIAN	CE MAY B	E GRANTI	ED IF ALL THI	ESE CONDI	TIONS ARE MET	*
DECI	SION:	THE REC	QUESTED	VARIANCE IS	GRANTED.		
MOT	ION:	Carroll		SECOND:	Hoeft	VOTE: 3-0	(voice vote)
CON	DITIO	NS OF AP	PROVAL/I	DENIAL:			
SIGN	ED:		CH/	AIRPERSON		DATE:	07-13-2017

BOARD DECISIONS MAY BE APPEALED TO CIRCUIT COURT. AUDIO RECORD OF THESE PROCEEDINGS IS AVAILABLE UPON REQUEST.

DECISION OF THE ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT JEFFERSON COUNTY, WISCONSIN

FINDINGS OF FACT

PETITION NO.: HEARING DATE:							
APPLICANT:	Ronald Noe						
PROPERTY OWNER:	Ronald J & Constance L Noe						
PARCEL (PIN #):	032-0815-3423-002						
TOWNSHIP:	Watertown						
INTENT OF PETITION ROW	NER: To construct a shed at less than required setback to						
THE JEFFERSON COU	UESTS A VARIANCE FROM SECTION <u>11.07(d)</u> OF NTY ZONING ORDINANCE. HE PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION AND PROPERTY WHICH NT OR DENIAL OF THE VARIANCE APPLICATION ARE:						
-Ordinance require	es 85' from CL, 50' from ROW						
-Proposed location	of shed is 68' from CL, 35' from ROW						
-Septic system inst	alled north of house, replacement site south of house						
-Steep location wit	h 20% slopes						
-ROW size increase	es on hill						
	ONS BASED ON SITE INSPECTIONS: Site inspections						
conducted. Observ	ved property layout & location.						
FACTS PRESENTED AT	Γ PUBLIC HEARING: See tape, minutes & file.						

DECISION STANDARDS

A.	NO VARIANCE MAY BE GRANTED WHICH WOULD HAVE THE EFFECT OF ALLOWING IN ANY DISTRICT A USE NOT PERMITTED IN THAT DISTRICT							
В.	NO VARIANCE MAY BE GRANTED WHICH WOULD HAVE THE EFFECT OF ALLOWING A USE OF LAND OR PROPERTY WHICH WOULD VIOLATE ST LAWS OR ADMINISTRATIVE RULES:							
C.	WHE RESU STAN SUBS	RE STR LTS IN DARDS	ICT ENFO AN UNN WILL AL	DRCEMENT OF T ECESSARY HARD LOW THE SPIRIT	THE TERN SHIP & W T OF THE	NCES MAY BE GR MS OF THE ORDIN HERE A VARIANO ORDINANCE TO , & THE PUBLIC I	NANCE CE IN THE BE OBSERVED,	
	BASE	D ON T	HE FIND	INGS OF FACT, T	THE BOAF	RD CONCLUDES T	HAT:	
4.	OF TO PREV PURE	HE TER VENT TI POSE OF ECESSA The to facilitie	MS OF TEMS OF	HE ZONING ORI ER FROM USING RENDER CONFORDENSOME BEC FOOT THE SITTER OF	DINANCE THE PRO ORMITY V AUSEt ement of th	T A LITERAL ENI WOULD UNREASO PERTY FOR A PEI WITH SUCH REST hey are allowed this e existing structures priate placement. To	ONABLY RMITTED RICTIONS shed in this zone. and supported	
5.	PROF	PERTY F AUSE	RATHER ' anywhere	THAN THE CIRC else is not flat. Th	UMSTANO ey are takii	LIMITATIONS OF CES OF THE APPL ng care to avoid both topography create un	ICANT the current and	
6.	THE VARIANCE WILL NOT BE CONTRARY TO THE PUBLIC INTEREST AS EXPRESSED BY THE PURPOSE AND INTENT OF THE ZONING ORDINANCE BECAUSE there is no sight-line problem and no difference for emergency vehicles. There is no change from the present for public safety.							
*A VA	RIANC	E MAY	BE GRAN	TED IF ALL THE	ESE CONE	DITIONS ARE MET	<u>**</u>	
DECI	SION:	THE RI	EQUESTE	D VARIANCE IS	GRANTEI),		
MOT	ION:	Hoeft		SECOND:	Weis	VOTE: 3-0	(voice vote)	
CON	DITION	NS OF A	PPROVAL	./DENIAL:				
SIGN	ED:		C	HAIRPERSON		DATE:	07-13-2017	

BOARD DECISIONS MAY BE APPEALED TO CIRCUIT COURT. AUDIO RECORD OF THESE PROCEEDINGS IS AVAILABLE UPON REQUEST.